DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE:	New York District

DATE OF DETERMINATION: September 6, 2005

PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: In the office (Y/N) N Date:

At the project site Y Date: August 5, 2004

(Y/N)

2004-00610

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

FILE NUMBER:

State: New York
County: Rockland

Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitude coordinates: lat:41-8-20.0040 lon:74-1-59.0160

Approximate size of site/property (including uplands) in acres 12

Name of waterway or watershed: Unnamed

Type of Aquatic Resource ¹ :	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear Fcet	Unknown
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands	0.2								
Slough									
Prairie Pothole									
Wet Meadow									
Playa Lake									
Vernal Pool									
Natural Pond									
Other Water (identify type)									

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹	If Kn	iown	If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment		
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able to Make Determination
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?				Х	
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?				X	
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?				Х	
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?		X			

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

TYPE OF DETERMINATION: Preliminary X Or Approved

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

CENAN-OP-R APPLICATION NO. 2004-00610

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD

1. Site Conditions: Total acreage of site: _12__ The jurisdictional waters on site consist of (check as many as are appropriate): Pond __Lake __Stream __Wctlands* __Tidal Waters __Other (identify type): __N/A__ * If wetlands are present, indicate Cowardin classification: Total acres of jurisdictional waters on site: _0_ Position of jurisdictional waters in landscape and watershed: N/A Text Description/Current Land Use (developed, undisturbed, agricultural, mining area, etc.): Developed with roads and residences. 2. Rationale Used To Determine NJD: Isolated, intra-state water #1 The NJD aquatic resources on site consist of (check as many as arc appropriate): Pond __ Lake __ Stream _X Wetlands* __ Tidal Waters __ Other (identify type): _____ * If wetlands are present, indicate Cowardin elassification: PFO . Acres of this NJD aquatic resource within property: __0.2_ Description of the position of the aquatic resource in the landscape (i.e. indicate distance to and difference in elevation from nearest waters of the U.S., differences in vegetative communities, soil types or hydrologic features): Hydric soils and red maple overstory, drainage features not noted and no nexus to waters of the U.S. The aquatic resource X_ is __ is not located completely within the project site. The aquatic resource __ is _X is not connected to waters of the U.S. by X a surface channel X underground culvert other means (identify) by which water could flow between the two: The aquatic resource __ is _X_ is not a wetland considered to be adjacent (neighboring, bordering or contiguous) to a surface tributary system.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

CORPS	of Engineers
DISTRICT OFFICE:	New York District
FILE NUMBER:	2005-00032 .
DATE OF DETERMINATION:	September 19, 2005
DDO IECE DEMENVOEEEDMIN (ELON COMPLETED	T II OR OLON

PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: In the office (Y/N) N Date:

At the project site Y Date: May 10, 2005

(Y/N)

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: New York
County: Columbia

Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitude coordinates: lat:42-17-35.0160 lon:73-42-38.0160

Approximate size of site/property (including uplands) in acres

74.66

Name of waterway or watershed:

Mud Creek

Type of Aquatic Resource ¹ :	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear Feet	Unknown
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands	0.04								
Slough									
Prairie Pothole									
Wet Meadow									
Playa Lake									
Vernal Pool									
Natural Pond									
Other Water (identify type)									

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹	If Kn	If Known Use Best Professional Judgment			
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able to Make Determination
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?			X		
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?	Х				
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?				X	
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?		X			

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

TYPE OF DETERMINATION: Preliminary Or Approved X

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

CENAN-OP-R APPLICATION NO. 2005-00032

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD

1. Site Conditions:
Total acreage of site:74.66 The jurisdictional waters on site consist of (check as many as are appropriate):PondLakeStream _X_Wetlands*Tidal WatersOther (identify type): * If wetlands are present, indicate Cowardin classification: _PFO/PSS Total acres of jurisdictional waters on site:9.77 Position of jurisdictional waters in landscape and watershed: Wetlands are associated with an unnamed tributary to Mud Creek, which is part of a tributary system to the Hudson River. Text Description/Current Land Use (developed, undisturbed, agricultural, mining area, etc.): _The site currently supports a municipal airport. Cover types on the site include mowed lawn areas, serub-shrub and emergent wetlands, and paved areas.
2. Rationale Used To Determine NJD:
Isolated, intra-state water # 1 The NJD aquatic resources on site consist of (check as many as are appropriate): Pond Lake Strcam _X_ Wetlands* Tidal Waters Other (identify type): * If wetlands are present, indicate Cowardin classification: _PSS Acres of this NJD aquatic resource within property: 0.04 Description of the position of the aquatic resource in the landscape (i.e. indicate distance to and difference in elevation from nearest waters of the U.S., differences in vegetative communities, soil types or hydrologic features): _Wetland B is a small depressional area, which is encompassed by upland vegetation and soils. No discernable inlet or outlet was observed within Wetland B. The aquatic resource _X_ is is not located completely within the project site. The aquatic resource _ is _X_ is not connected to waters of the U.S. by a surface channel underground culvert other means (identify) by which water could flow between the two:
The aquatic resource is _X_ is not a wetland considered to be adjacent (neighboring, bordering or contiguous) to a surface tributary system.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: New York District 2003-00907 FILE NUMBER: DATE OF DETERMINATION: September 22, 2005 PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: In the office (Y/N) Ν Date: August 26, 2005 At the project site Y Date: May 26, 2004 (Y/N) PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION: New York State: County: Rockland lat:41-10-0.0120 lon:74-2-7.0080 Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitude coordinates: Approximate size of site/property (including uplands) in acres 4.92 Name of waterway or watershed: Unnamed 5-10 ac 10-25 ac 25-50 ac > 50 ac Unknown Type of Aquatic Resource1: 0-1 ac 1-3 ac 3-5 ac Linear Feet Lake River Stream Mudflat Sandflat Wetlands 0.02 Slough Prairie Pothole Wet Meadow Playa Lake Vernal Pool Natural Pond Other Water (identify type)

non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.		•
Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹	If Known	If Unknown
		Use Best Professional Judgment

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of

Yes No Predicted Not Expected Not Able to Make to Occur to Occur Determination Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Х Migratory Bird Treaties? X Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines? Is or would be used as habitat for endangered X species? Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce? Х

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

TYPE OF DETERMINATION: Preliminary Or Approved X

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

CENAN-OP-R APPLICATION NO. 2003-00907

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD

system.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTR	OFF	
111316	 1766	. r.:

New York District

FILE NUMBER:

2005-00261

DATE OF DETERMINATION:

September 27, 2005

PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED:

In the office (Y/N) At the project site Date:

Date: May 11, 2005 and

(Y/N)

July 27, 2005

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State:

New York

County:

Franklin

Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitude coordinates:

lat:44-50-40.9920 lon:74-19-48.0000

Approximate size of site/property (including uplands) in acres

26.2

Name of waterway or watershed:

Unnamed Tributary

Type of Aquatic Resource ¹ :	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear	Unknown
				l				Feet	
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands	0.07								
Slough									
Prairie Pothole									
Wet Meadow									
Playa Lake									
Vernal Pool									
Natural Pond									
Other Water (identify type)									

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹	If K n	own	If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment				
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able to Make Determination		
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?	X						
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?	X						
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?					X		
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?					X		

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, nonjurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

Preliminary

Or Approved X

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

CENAN-OP-R APPLICATION NO. 2005-00261

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD

1. Site Conditions: Total acreage of site: 26.2 The jurisdictional waters on site consist of (check as many as are appropriate): Pond __Lake __Stream __Wetlands* __Tidal Waters __Other (identify type): * If wetlands are present, indicate Cowardin classification: _____. Total acres of jurisdictional waters on site: Position of jurisdictional waters in landscape and watershed: Text Description/Current Land Usc (developed, undisturbed, agricultural, mining area, etc.): 2. Rationale Used To Determine NJD: Isolated, intra-state water # 1 The NJD aquatic resources on site consist of (check as many as are appropriate): Pond Lake Stream X Wetlands* Tidal Waters Other (identify type): * If wetlands are present, indicate Cowardin classification: PEM Acres of this NJD aquatic resource within property: 0.07 Description of the position of the aquatic resource in the landscape (i.e. indicate distance to and difference in elevation from nearest waters of the U.S., differences in vegetative communities, soil types or hydrologic features): The area is a narrow linear wetland which collects drainage from the surrounding agricultural fields. No discernable inlet or outlet was observed. The wetland is approximately 200 feet from nearest jurisdictional water of the United States. The soils and vegetation is similar to that of the surrounding upland. However, this is determined to be the result of the entire area being disturbed due to the current and past use of the area for agriculture. The soil survey and the historical topo maps fail to show any past connection to waters of the United States. The aquatic resource X is is not located completely within the project site. The aquatic resource $\underline{}$ is \underline{X} is not connected to waters of the U.S. by $\underline{}$ a surface channel underground culvert ___ other means (identify) by which water could flow between the two: The aquatic resource $\underline{}$ is \underline{X} is not a wetland considered to be adjacent (neighboring, bordering or contiguous) to a surface tributary